The Impact of Monitoring and Evaluation Systems on Good Governance in Local Government: Evidence from South Africa

Makhishi George Marindi
Author Affiliation: Regenesys Business School, Johannesburg, South Africa
Email: george.marindi@gmail.com

Sathiaseelan Pillay
Author Affiliation: Regenesys Business School, Johannesburg, South Africa

Abstract: *This study investigates the critical role of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) systems in enhancing good governance and performance within local government structures in South Africa. Despite policy initiatives to strengthen oversight, many municipalities continue to exhibit signs of dysfunction, with weak accountability mechanisms and fragmented performance management processes. Using a quantitative research design grounded in the Theory of Change (ToC) and the Government-Wide Monitoring and Evaluation System (GWMES), the paper evaluates the structure, reach, and effectiveness of existing M&E practices across five municipalities in the North West Province. Results highlight that while M&E is widely recognised as essential for good governance, its implementation is hindered by inadequate institutional frameworks, poor data management, and limited public participation. The findings emphasise the need for a consolidated, participatory M&E model that is embedded within governance structures such as Ward Committees, Municipal Public Accounts Committees (MPACs), and Internal Audit Units. By integrating feedback mechanisms and stakeholder engagement into performance management systems, municipalities can enhance transparency, responsiveness, and public trust. This paper contributes to the growing body of knowledge on local governance by offering empirical evidence and strategic recommendations for the institutionalisation of effective M&E systems that promote sustainable service delivery and democratic accountability.*
Keywords: monitoring and evaluation, good governance, local government, service delivery, accountability, South Africa

INTRODUCTION

Effective local governance is foundational to the socio-economic development of democratic

societies. In South Africa, municipalities are constitutionally mandated to deliver essential services and uphold the principles of democratic governance, including transparency, accountability, and public participation. Despite these mandates, many municipalities exhibit chronic service delivery failures, governance inefficiencies, and widespread citizen dissatisfaction. Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) systems, which have long been recognised as performance-enhancing mechanisms at the national and provincial levels, remain inconsistently applied within local government. The need for standardized and participatory M&E systems in local municipalities has become more urgent given persistent fiscal mismanagement and declining institutional capacity.

LITERATURE /THEORETICAL UNDERPINNING

The theoretical foundation of this study draws from three core perspectives: the Theory of Change (ToC), the Theory of Accountability, and the Government-Wide Monitoring and Evaluation System (GWMES). The ToC emphasises the importance of clearly articulated assumptions linking programmatic inputs to outcomes and long-term impacts, facilitating the design of M&E frameworks by mapping causal pathways and identifying key performance indicators. The Theory of Accountability underpins governance systems by emphasizing transparency, responsibility, and responsiveness among public officials. The GWMES, introduced in 2007, seeks to integrate planning, budgeting, and service delivery through data-driven decision-making across government spheres. While successfully institutionalised at national and provincial levels, local governments have yet to fully implement its principles. Participatory M&E, rooted in democratic theory, argues for citizen inclusion in planning, implementation, and oversight, making public institutions more responsive and legitimate.
Scholars such as Cloete (2009) and Wotela (2017) have highlighted that M&E serves as both a diagnostic and improvement tool, essential for aligning strategic objectives with operational performance in the public sector. Globally, effective M&E frameworks in countries like South Korea, Canada, and New Zealand are characterized by transparency, participatory feedback loops, and technology integration (Lee, 2023; Hendrickx & de Vries, 2021). In the African context, decentralization reforms in Ghana and Kenya illustrate the value of locally embedded M&E mechanisms in promoting good governance and curbing corruption (Akotey & Boateng, 2020; Nyarangi & Isoe, 2015). However, in South Africa, the persistent lack of coordinated M&E systems has contributed to service delivery failures and poor fiscal accountability at the municipal level. The theoretical lens thus supports the development of a responsive M&E model that is institutionalised, citizen-inclusive, and oriented toward measurable outcomes.

METHODOLOGY

This research adopted a positivist paradigm using a quantitative, cross-sectional design to objectively measure perceptions and practices surrounding M&E in local government. Structured questionnaires were distributed to 100 purposefully selected respondents across five municipalities in the North West Province. The respondent pool included municipal managers, section heads, M&E officers, audit committee members, and ward councillors. This ensured diverse representation from administrative, oversight, and participatory functions.

Questions were developed based on existing M&E frameworks and validated through a pilot test involving ten municipal staff not included in the final sample. The survey covered five thematic areas: institutional integration of M&E, stakeholder engagement, KPI development, data quality management, and feedback mechanisms. Responses were captured using a 5-point Likert scale. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) and inferential analyses including exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and reliability tests (Cronbach's alpha) were performed using SPSS Version 27. Ethical clearance was obtained from Regenesys Business School's Ethics Committee, and informed consent was secured from all participants.

The methodological rigour, including stratified purposive sampling, pilot validation, and internal consistency checks ($\alpha > 0.7$), ensured data quality and reliability. This allowed the research to identify statistically significant dimensions and barriers to effective M&E implementation in the municipalities studied.

RESULTS / FINDINGS

The results of the quantitative data analysis offer critical insights into the current state of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) systems within the five selected municipalities in South Africa's North West Province. Data were analyzed across five thematic dimensions: institutional integration, stakeholder engagement, key performance indicator (KPI) usage, data management, and feedback mechanisms.

1. Institutional Integration and Policy Alignment Only 42% of respondents reported that their municipality had a clearly documented M&E policy. Among those, just 28% indicated that the policy was actively used in day-to-day decision-making. A further 45% of participants suggested that M&E activities were ad hoc, driven by external audit or funding requirements rather than integrated into institutional workflows. These findings reflect weak institutionalization of M&E systems and limited alignment with municipal strategic plans and annual performance goals.

2. Stakeholder Engagement and Participatory Oversight A striking 61% of respondents believed that community stakeholders, including Ward Committees and civil society organizations, were not involved in M&E activities. When asked about the existence of participatory platforms for data sharing or evaluation feedback, 67% indicated that such mechanisms were either non-existent or inconsistently applied. Respondents emphasized the absence of structured consultations and reported a lack of clarity on how community feedback influenced programme redesign or resource allocation.

3. KPI Development and Performance Tracking Just 39% of municipal staff confirmed that their departments maintained consistent, measurable KPIs aligned with the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and service delivery objectives. The absence of meaningful indicators was identified as a major limitation in evaluating the effectiveness of municipal programmes. Furthermore, 48% of participants admitted to unclear or shifting performance expectations during implementation, exacerbated by political interference and leadership changes.

4. Data Quality, Reliability, and Systematic Use Regarding data quality, only 34% of respondents trusted the accuracy and timeliness of M&E-related data. Participants

cited outdated systems, a lack of real-time reporting tools, and limited ICT capacity as major constraints. Furthermore, many municipalities still relied on manual data collection methods, which undermined the objectivity and efficiency of reporting processes. Correlation analysis revealed a strong relationship between data quality and the perceived impact of M&E on decision-making ($r = 0.74$, $p < 0.01$).

5. Feedback Mechanisms and Learning Loops A mere 26% of respondents reported receiving formal feedback on submitted M&E reports. Most evaluations were either filed away with no discussion or used punitively without contributing to organisational learning. Respondents emphasized the absence of post-implementation reviews and reflection workshops, which significantly reduced opportunities for institutional growth and adaptive planning.

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and Reliability Outcomes. EFA was conducted to identify latent constructs that explain intercorrelations among the M&E dimensions. Four core factors emerged:

- Strategic and Institutional Alignment ($\alpha = 0.83$)
- Stakeholder Participation and Inclusiveness ($\alpha = 0.79$)
- Data Management and System Capacity ($\alpha = 0.81$)
- Feedback and Performance Learning ($\alpha = 0.76$)

These factors accounted for 71.4% of the total variance. The high Cronbach's alpha values across all scales confirm the internal consistency and reliability of the instrument. Comparative Insights by Role and Seniority Senior managers were significantly more optimistic about the effectiveness of M&E systems (mean = 3.6) compared to operational staff (mean = 2.9), indicating a perceptual divide. This gap suggests that while upper management may be more familiar with M&E frameworks, implementation challenges persist at the operational level. ANOVA results confirmed statistically significant differences in perceptions across job roles ($F(3,96) = 4.28$, $p < 0.01$).

Summary of Challenges Identified
- Absence of M&E champions at executive levels.
- Low digital infrastructure and data collection capacity.
- Weak feedback and accountability systems.
- Limited community engagement in oversight processes.

Overall, the findings underscore the fragmented state of M&E practice in local municipalities and the urgent need for standardized, inclusive, and capacity-enhanced systems.

DISCUSSION

The findings confirm that while Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) is widely acknowledged as a critical tool for governance and service delivery, its practical implementation in South Africa's local municipalities remains fragmented and underdeveloped. Institutional integration is weak, with many municipalities treating M&E as a compliance mechanism rather than a strategic management function. This aligns with prior literature suggesting that the absence of

embedded M&E systems undermines organisational learning and policy responsiveness (Cloete, 2009; Wotela, 2017).

The lack of stakeholder engagement, as reflected in the fact that over 60% of respondents reported little to no community participation, highlights a disconnect between municipalities and the constituencies they serve. This gap is troubling given the constitutional mandate for participatory governance and the proven benefits of participatory M&E in fostering trust, inclusivity, and legitimacy (Taplin & Clark, 2012; De Silva et al., 2014). Without platforms for public input and feedback loops, M&E systems risk becoming technocratic exercises with limited impact on real-world decision-making.

Performance tracking is similarly affected by the absence of coherent Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) aligned with municipal Integrated Development Plans (IDPs). The inability to link daily activities to strategic objectives results in poor accountability and inefficiency. Moreover, the reliance on outdated data collection systems and the lack of real-time reporting tools restrict evidence-based planning. These limitations are consistent with international findings that highlight data quality as a pivotal factor in effective M&E (Lee, 2023).

Furthermore, feedback mechanisms are underutilised, with only a minority of participants receiving any evaluation results or participating in reflection sessions. This reflects a missed opportunity to institutionalise adaptive learning, a cornerstone of effective governance systems. The perceptual divide between senior managers and operational staff also suggests a communication breakdown, where policy awareness does not translate into practical implementation.

IMPLICATIONS TO RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

This study contributes to the literature on local governance and public administration by offering empirical evidence on the status and shortcomings of M&E systems in the South African municipal sector. It validates theoretical arguments on the importance of institutional integration, participatory governance, and performance-based management, while highlighting practical challenges on the ground.

For practitioners, the research highlights the need for municipalities to develop context-sensitive Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) policies that are not only aligned with the GWMES framework but also grounded in operational realities. Institutional buy-in from leadership, coupled with targeted training for frontline staff, is essential for mainstreaming M&E practices. Capacity-building efforts should prioritise the use of digital tools to improve data collection, visualisation, and reporting efficiency.

Policymakers should mandate the establishment of dedicated monitoring and evaluation (M&E) units in all municipalities, with clear roles for community stakeholders, internal audit units, and public accounts committees. National and provincial governments must also provide oversight and support, ensuring that M&E is not merely adopted in form but also substance.

Ultimately, embedding M&E in governance systems must go beyond technical fixes to include cultural transformation within municipal institutions—one that values feedback, responsiveness, and continuous improvement. Such a shift is critical for restoring citizen trust, enhancing transparency, and achieving developmental outcomes at the local level.

CONCLUSION

The study concludes that Monitoring and Evaluation systems are indispensable for improving good governance and service delivery in local government. Yet, their potential remains underutilised due to fragmented implementation and insufficient stakeholder engagement. A standardised, participatory M&E framework anchored in accountability, reliable data, and inclusive oversight can significantly enhance local governance outcomes. Such a model would facilitate proactive planning, strengthen institutional resilience, and promote sustainable community development.

FUTURE RESEARCH

The findings of this study open several avenues for future research that can deepen the understanding of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) systems and their influence on governance in local government settings.

Firstly, longitudinal studies are needed to evaluate the impact of newly implemented M&E frameworks over time. This would enable researchers to track improvements or stagnation in governance performance and service delivery, allowing for the refinement of existing models. By tracking the evolution of M&E practices across election cycles, budgetary changes, and administrative reforms, more profound insights into institutional resilience and adaptability can be gained.

Secondly, comparative research across different provinces or countries within the Southern African Development Community (SADC) region could reveal contextual differences and commonalities in M&E adoption. Lessons can be drawn from countries like Kenya and Ghana, which have made significant strides in decentralising governance and institutionalising participatory M&E practices. Such comparative studies can inform regionally responsive models and cross-border collaboration in governance innovation.

Thirdly, there is a need for qualitative case studies that delve into the lived experiences of municipal employees, ward councillors, and citizens in engaging with M&E systems. Understanding the behavioural, political, and cultural dimensions of M&E implementation can provide a richer narrative beyond statistical analysis and guide context-specific interventions.

Fourthly, future research should also explore the role of digital technologies and data analytics in transforming municipal management and evaluation (M&E) practices. Evaluating the use of dashboards, mobile data collection tools, and geographic information systems (GIS) in enhancing decision-making could add value to the discourse on innovative governance.

Finally, research into capacity development models, focusing on training needs, institutional readiness, and leadership commitment, is crucial for establishing a sustainable M&E culture in local government. Investigating how academic institutions, civil society, and international development partners can collaborate in this regard would also be a worthwhile direction. In summary, future research should adopt multi-level, interdisciplinary, and context-aware approaches to strengthen the empirical and practical foundations for effective M&E in local governance.

REFERENCES

Auditor-General of South Africa. (2022). Consolidated General Report on the Local Government Audit Outcomes 2020–21.

Bergsteiner, H. (2012). Accountability Theory Meets Accountability Practice. Journal of Business Ethics, 110(1), 25–39.

Cloete, F. (2009). Evidence-based policy analysis in South Africa: Critical assessment. Journal of Public Administration, 44(2), 293–311.

De Silva, M. J., Breuer, E., Lee, L., Asher, L., Chowdhary, N., Lund, C., & Patel, V. (2014). Theory of Change: A theory-driven approach to enhance the Medical Research Council's framework for complex interventions. Trials, 15(1), 267.

Department of Public Service and Administration (DPSA). (2007). Batho Pele Handbook: A Service Delivery Improvement Guide. Pretoria.

Department of Planning, Monitoring and Evaluation (DPME). (2011). National Evaluation Policy Framework. Pretoria.

Hendrickx, M. & de Vries, M. (2021). Citizen Participation in Local Governance: A Comparative Study. Local Government Studies, 47(6), 901–918.

Lee, J. (2023). Smart Governance and Public Value Creation in South Korea: Evidence from Digital Transformation. Public Management Review, 25(1), 134–152.

National Treasury. (2022). State of Local Government Finances and Financial Management. Pretoria.

Overman, S. & Schillemans, T. (2021). Accountability in Public Administration: A Multi-Level Perspective. Governance, 34(1), 111–128.

Taplin, D. H. & Clark, H. (2012). Theory of Change Basics: A Primer on Theory of Change. ActKnowledge: New York.

Wotela, K. (2017). Towards a monitoring and evaluation theory for South Africa. African Journal of Public Sector Development and Governance, 1(1), 1–15.