Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

# Economic Evaluation of Some Feldspar Deposits in Adavi and Ajaokuta Local Government Areas, Kogi State for Investment Purposes

**Omoijuanfo Ihase. S. O<sup>1</sup>, Opafunso Zachaeus. O<sup>1</sup>, Saliu Muyideen<sup>1</sup>. A, and Lajide L<sup>1</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Corresponding E-mail: <u>ihaseo@yahoo.com</u>

**Citation**: Omoijuanfo I.S. O., Opafunso Z. O., Saliu M. A, and Lajide L (2024) Economic Evaluation of Some Feldspar Deposits in Adavi and Ajaokuta Local Government Areas, Kogi State for Investment Purposes, *International Journal of Coal, Geology and Mining Research*, Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27

**ABSTRACT:** The Zango Daji, area is part of the basement complex of Nigeria and is underlain mainly by schists and intrusive granitic and pegmatitic rocks along with sediments weathered from these rocks. The granitic and pegmatitic intrusives are source of feldspar with a significant  $K_2O$ component (k-feldspar). Reconnaissance survey was conducted within the Zango Daji forest to collect relevant data and for mapping. The study of the area reveals the occurrence of feldspar deposit hosted by granitic and pegmatitic intrusives. Geographic Information System tools (GIS) was used to map the study area for primary data collection. Secondary data were obtained from relevant agencies. An analysis of the physical characteristics of the samples of feldspar deposit obtained from the study area through geological survey methods revealed the specific density of 2.56 g/cm<sup>3</sup> and hardness of 6. on the Mohs scale. These were determined through laboratory methods. The economic analysis was carried to determine the economic viability of the deposit through net present value. The result showed that the deposit has an enormous positive socioeconomic benefits as a poverty reduction means, job creation, enhanced revenue and profit maximization. Statistical analysis was then conducted by means of the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) program to process data to develop a mining model to evaluate the economic feasibility of the project. Market analysis showed that the price of crude and processed feldspar per ton are respectively above \$150,000.00 and \$420,000.000 internationally, while the export price of crude feldspar is less than ¥70,000.00 per ton locally. The results of the economic analysis and evaluation showed that the mining project is economically feasible given geoscientific and economic variables used and the assumptions made.

**KEYWORDS:** Zango Daji, K-feldspar, pegmatitic, intrusives, mineral resource, reserve estimation

# INTRODUCTION

Reconnaissance is grass root exploration meant to identify the existence of mineral potentials. It excludes pitting, trenching, drilling or sub-surface excavation (Haldar, 2018). Exploration geology

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

is a complete sequence of activities between searching for a new mineral project and property evaluation (Khaled, 2019). Economic evaluation of a deposit is of a complex character and is thus referred to by scholars as geologico-economic or technical-economic evaluation. Geological exploration is a process of finding commercially viable mineral resource and the objective is to locate it in the shortest possible time and at the lowest possible cost (Gandhi and Sarkar 2016). Geologists can search for years before finding an economic mineral deposit. The deposit size, its mineral content, extracting cost and efficiency, market value of processed minerals are all factors that determine if a mineral deposit can be profitably developed. Reynolds (2011) explained that geophysical survey methods responds to the physical properties of sub-surface media, used successfully where one region differs sufficiently from another. A single geophysical method seldom yields highly reliable results because each method is specifically suitable for a particular type of mineral deposit (Narasimman, 2013). For specific geological evidences and knowledge, the location, quantity, grade, geological characteristics and continuity must be known, estimated or interpreted (Westholm and Alderton, 2015). A deposit must be evaluated using a complex of parameters since no reliable synthesizing index for determining the economic value of a deposit is available. The characteristics and modes of occurrence of a mineral specie determines if it has an economic interest, that is whether it can be profitably mined. This depends on the market demand and related to specific stage of industrial development (Lattanzi, 2022). The decline of the solid minerals industry started with the discovery of crude oil, and to an extent, Nigeria became a mono product economy and hence, vulnerable to international oil politics and its repercussions and the geopolitics of oil have played central role in international relations and it is argued that geopolitical rivalry over access to oil has been the source of much of the conflicts in the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Chatham House, 2019). Akpabio and Akpan (2010) stated that in Nigeria, oil politics partly finds expression in an unfinished nation-state project represented by a crisis of its oil dependent federalism, marked in part by the struggle of the six oil – producing states in Niger Delta region for local autonomy and control over revenues (resource control). The domineering role of oil did not allow past governments to attend to global challenges that evolved in the development of solid minerals. No doubt, the earnings from the export of petroleum denominated in United States dollars, otherwise known as "petrodollar" from these oil booms led to the neglect of these huge economic potentials.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

This made Nigeria to experience the "Dutch disease" reflected by an appreciation of exchange rate, subsidize imports while discouraging non-oil exports; which in Nigeria's case includes the solid mineral sector which before the discovery of crude oil was a major contributor to economic growth. Overtime, the level of investment in solid mineral exploration and development plummeted below that of the oil and gas industry that has seen an exponential growth since Nigeria became a petrodollar state (Olumide et al., 2013). While the efforts put in place by the Federal government in diversifying the economy can be said to be yielding some results, its contribution to GDP of the economy is still low. With the exit of foreign multinational mining companies, the performance of the subsector began to dwindle (Bamalli et al., 2011). The tempo of mining activities shifted to industrial non-metallic minerals needed for construction, building and industrial applications in domestic industries (Onah, 2005). The Federal government, through the Ministry of Mines and Steel Development has made efforts to revitalize the solid mineral sector through reforms (Ola-Omole, 2010). Oyedokun and Igonor (2013) reviewed the efforts made in the development of solid minerals in Southwest region of Nigeria is based on recent reforms targeted at sustaining possible mineral exploration. Mallo (2012) in examining the mining sector stated that the sector's contribution to gross domestic product, (GDP) was a meagre 0.3% despite its huge potential. Oscarline (2012) posited that the Nigerian mining sector's contribution might rise in coming years as a result of recent sector reforms. The global feldspar market reached a value of nearly \$3,688.32 million in 2021, having increased at a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 6.14% since 2016. The market is expected to grow from \$3,688.32 million in 2021 to \$4,904.04 million in 2026 at a rate of 5.86%. The market is then expected to grow at a CAGR of 4.51% from 2026 and reach \$6,113.88 million in 2031 (Businesswire, 2023). Opafunso et al., (2008) in a paper titled Prospects and Challenges of Women and Children Involvement in Artisanal and Small Scale Mining in Selected African Countries opined that Small Scale Mining all over the world are structured according to access to limited finance and technical competence. He stated that informal artisanal operations are characterized by lack of capital, unsafe mining methods, environmental degradation and lack of technical competence. He further disclosed that there was lack of effective and appropriate internationally recognized policies guiding artisanal and small scale mining. These include policies guiding documentation of mining practice, code of conduct,

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

child labour, women miners, training and development of miners, mining research, financial guidelines and frameworks, and environmental regulations.

## Significance of the Study

There is need for better understanding of the knowledge of the economic evaluation of feldspar deposits in Nigeria. The essence for an understanding of the knowledge is the bedrock of this study due to its immense economic and social benefits for the people, the government and investor. This will enable stakeholders to key into the economic diversification drive of the Federal Government to reposition the solid minerals sector as an alternative foreign exchange earner to oil.

This study, economic evaluation of feldspar deposits within Zango Daji in Adavi and Ajaokuta Local Government Areas in Kogi State, in addressing the gaps in the development of feldspar deposits ensures the attainment of sustainable development goals by reducing or eliminating the factors militating against the exploitation and uses of the feldspar as a mineral to reduce poverty, create employment, improve livelihoods, for improvement in revenue generation, investors' profits and the enhancement of socio-economic indices of sustainable development goals. These outcomes will result into developing a mining model which evaluates the economic feasibility of the project to attract investment.

# LITERATURE REVIEW

# **Review of Business Characteristics and Statistical Report**

Mining has a combination of characteristics which differ from other types of business or industry. These characteristics have most significance for the economics of mining (Crowson, 2009) but also influence the laws and arrangements which regulate mining (Libecap, 1996). The large amounts of money needed to develop a mine, and the long time periods before any returns, means that parties providing finance to mining projects pay close attention to the planning and operation of any mine (Southlam, 2012).

According to National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) 2021 report "The Mining and Quarrying sector is crucial to growth in Nigeria considering the potential to export mineral resources to the rest of the world and the drive for diversification. Essentially, Nigeria is said to be endowed with over

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

forty (40) types of minerals including marble, coal, iron ore, gold, silica, lead, zinc, tin ore, manganese, granite, laterite, limestone etc" (NBS, 2021). The NBS report further states that, Value Added Tax, (VAT) revenue has been on a steady increase in the last three years when the Federal government increased VAT rate from 5% to 7.5% in a bid to increase government revenue. The mining sector is one of three sectors in Nigeria with the highest VAT remittances in the first half of 2022, jointly accounting for 62.2% of total value added tax revenue generated by the Federal Inland Revenue Services (FIRS). The manufacturing, information and communication technology (ICT) and mining sectors led the list with the highest VAT remittances in the period under review. As a constitutional requirement, the mining sector, if properly positioned, harnessed and managed could be a source of high revenue generation to the three tiers of government through VAT. (NBS, 2022). Figure 1 shows the top seven contributing sectors to the Nigerian Economy in quarter one (Q.1) of 2021 indicating the solid mineral sector as an indirect contributor to the top seven sectors.



Source: NBS (2021)

Figure 1: Top seven contributing sectors to Nigerian economy in Q.1 of 2021

Amankwah and Anim-Sackey (2004) remarked that for many centuries, mining of mineral resources has made a significant impact on the socio-economic lives of people and communities

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

involved directly or indirectly in the sector. Mining operations generally generate significant employment avenues, especially in remote rural areas where alternative job opportunities are scarce and low paying. Apart from the direct employment contributions of mining, it also generates a substantial number of indirect jobs in other sectors of the economy. However, production of these minerals has been at a cost to the social environment and there is the need to develop the sector in a responsible manner for sustainability.

#### **Review of the Process of Evaluation of Feasibility**

Though customary, but not mandatory, the process of evaluation of the technical feasibility and economic viability of production for a given mineral deposit pass through three stages of progressively more rigorous analysis. These are Preliminary economic assessment (PEA), Preliminary feasibility study (PFS) and Feasibility study (FS) (William, 2019a).

The preliminary economic assessment (PEA), often referred to as scoping study, is typically the first and least rigorous analysis of the technical feasibility and economic viability of a proposed mining project. The principal purpose of a PEA is to determine whether or not the mineral deposit in question has a reasonable prospect of being economically mineable and, if so, to make concrete recommendations as to the further work required to advance the project towards a production decision. A Pre-Feasibility Study (PFS) is a comprehensive study of a range of options for the technical and economic viability of a mineral project that has advanced to a stage where a preferred mining method, in the case of underground mining, or the pit configuration, in the case of an open pit, is established and an effective method of mineral processing is determined. It includes a financial analysis based on reasonable assumptions on mining, processing, metallurgical, economic, marketing, legal, environmental, social and governmental considerations and the evaluation of any other relevant factors which are sufficient for a Qualified Person, acting reasonably, to determine if all or part of the Mineral Resource may be classified as a Mineral Reserve (William, 2019b). A pre-feasibility study is at a lower confidence level than that of a feasibility study. The feasibility study is the final and definitive analysis of technical feasibility and economic viability. It is used as the basis for a production decision by the company owning the deposit, and also as the basis for securing funds from banks, other lending institutions or equity

# Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

investors, in order to finance construction of the project. In the feasibility study, the project and its individual components are fully defined, and sufficient engineering and design work has been completed to permit capital expenditures and operating costs to be estimated to a level of accuracy of, typically, plus or minus 15% (William, 2019c).

Swenson (2023) defined Net Present Value (NPV) as a number investors calculate to determine the profitability of a proposed project. NPV can be very useful for analyzing an investment in a company or a new project within a company. It considers all projected cash inflows and outflows and employs a concept known as time value of money to determine whether a particular investment is likely to generate gains or losses. NPV as a metric confers a few unique advantages, and it also has some disadvantages that render it irrelevant for certain investment decisions. Gladkevich and Khrushchev, (2014) expressed that an economic evaluation of mineral deposits for purposes of geographic prediction needs to be synthetic in character, incorporating the findings of special – purpose evaluations based on more narrowly defined criteria.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# **Description of Study Area**

The study area is located in Zango Daji forest in Adavi and Ajaokuta Local Government Areas in Kogi State, North Central Nigeria. The area comprises of ore rich deposits of feldspar and quartz and significant massive granite gneiss rock were observed. Figure 2 show the geological and mineral resources map of Kogi State.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK



Figure 2: Geological and Mineral Resources Map of Kogi State

The primary data were collected from the selected locations through geological methods using appropriate instruments like global positioning system (GPS) and digital camera Recconnaissance survey was carried out and rock samples of the feldspar deposits were randomly collected with spades, digger and geologist hammer, stored in sample bags and carefully labelled.

Plate 1 show flakes of mined feldspar by artisanal miners in an outcrop found within block A in the study area.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK



Plate 1: Feldspar Flakes Mined by Artisanal Miners within Block A in the Study Area

# **Determination of Physical Properties**

Determination of the density of the feldspar sample was carried out by Density bottle method, using Densometer while the hardness test was determined using the Mohs hardness test method. Eight (8) grams each of the feldspar rock prepared from samples collected from the three blocks were immersed separately into 90 cm<sup>3</sup> of water to obtain a volume increase in the water. The difference in volume was calculated and divided with the known weight of solid. The average density of the feldspar from the three blocks was determined The density of the feldspar rock was determined by using the density bottle method and calculated as summarized by Equation 1.

$$S = \frac{\begin{pmatrix} M_{2} & - & M_{1} \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} M_{4} & - & M_{1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} M_{3} & - & M_{2} \end{pmatrix}} \times D_{r} \begin{pmatrix} K_{g} & / & m^{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

Where, Dr is Density of fluid used,  $M_1$  is Weight of empty bottle + stopper,  $M_2$  is Weight of bottle + stopper + dry sample,  $M_3$  is Weight of bottle + stopper + dry sample + water,  $M_4$  is

# Website: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

## Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

Weight of bottle filled with water only, and S is Density of feldspar sample. The other physical properties were determined by observation under a high powered microscope.

## the Exercise

# **Economic Analysis of the Feldspar Deposits**

Economic analysis requires making forecasts and determining estimates such as production, revenue, capacity utilization etc. An oral interview was conducted to obtain some vital information. Some underlying assumptions were made to obtain profitability projections and technical specifications of equipment were obtained from manuals. Then a cash flow analysis was carried out.

# **Production estimates determination**

To determine the production estimates of the feldspar deposits, the technical specifications of the crushing plants are essential. The type, model and output of the crusher, the number of working hours per day, per month and in a year was used to determine the production estimates in a year. Annual production capacity figures were determined by a product of the percentage of capacity utilization of crusher. The crusher production in tons per hour were determined from equipment specification data sheet from the manufacturer. The number of working hours in a day and the number of working days in a year were also determined statutorily.

Based on a 35% capacity utilization of the proposed 300tons/hour crusher with an annual increment of 10% shown in Table 1, and a forecasted 8 hours work per day on a single shift and 24 working days per month or 290 working days per year, the production schedule forecast is given Table 2.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Published by	/ Europ	<u>ean Centre</u>	for	Research	Training	and	Develo	pment U	ΙK

Year	Yr.1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3	Yr.4	Yr. 5	Yr. 6	Yr. 7	Yr. 8	Yr. 9	Yr.
10										
(%)	35	45	55	65	75	85	95	95	100	100
300 tons/hr Crusher	105	105	165	195	225	235	265	265	300	300

**Table 1:** Summary of capacity utilization of proposed crusher for 10years

The production forecast, based on the above capacity utilization of the crusher for ten years is also shown in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Production forecast based on capacity utilization of proposed crusher for 10years

Year	Yr.1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3	Yr.4	Yr. 5	Yr. 6	Yr. 7	Yr. 8	Yr. 9	Yr. 10
(000tons p.a) Feldspar Aggregate	244	313	383	452	522	592	661	661	696	696

# **Revenue forecast**

The revenue forecast for 10 years was based on the capacity utilization of crusher and production forecast for 10 years, assuming feldspar is sold at N6,500 per ton. Sales is projected on a 15% annual increase up to 7<sup>th</sup> year of operation and cost of sales is projected on a 20% annual increase up to the 10<sup>th</sup> year of operation. Revenue projection is given in Table 3.

Table 3: The revenue projection of the feldspar project for 10 years

Year	Yr.1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3	Yr.4	Yr. 5	Yr. 6	Yr. 7	Yr. 8	Yr. 9	Yr. 10
(N'000,000)	1,949	2,514	3,060	3,618	4,176	4,732	5280	5290	5290	5290
Sales										
Cost of sales	440	528	634	761	913	1,096	1,315	1,578	1,894	2,273
Difference	1,509	1,986	2,426	2,857	3,263	3,636	3,975	3,715	3,396	3,017

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

## Cash flow analysis

In order to carry out an economic analysis of the feldspar deposit, a financial analysis was conducted to obtain a cash flow analysis for the project. A cash flow analysis is an examination of cash inflows and outflows of a business. This was done using discounted rate or net present method. Through the discounted rate, which is the opportunity cost of capital, or rate of return forgone by not investing in other activities, the investor can decide whether or not to invest. The discounted rate or net present value of a stream of revenues and costs. The mathematical representation is given in Equation 2.

$$NPV = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{R_t}{\left(1+i\right)^t}$$
(2)

Where,

NPV is the Net present value,  $R_t$  is the Net cash flow during a single period t, i is the Discounted rate or return that could be earned in alternative investments, t is the Number of timer periods and n is the number of entities.

When evaluating a potential investment, a positive NPV indicates that expected revenues exceed expected costs after accounting for the time value of money; the investment is worth more than it costs and thus should be undertaken. A negative NPV indicates that costs exceed revenues after accounting for money's time value; a firm's money would be better spent elsewhere.

In summary, the profitability projections of the project for the first ten years were made under the following underlying assumptions shown in Table 4.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

S/No.	Activity/Item	Assumption
1.	Installed capacity	Production of approximately 600,000 tons/year
2.	Plant operation	290 days of 8 hours/day
3.	Capacity utilization	300 tons/hour crusher to work at 35%, with a
		10% annual increase until the 8th year when a second
		crusher is added
4.	Product	Crude Feldspar
5.	Cost of sales	Ratio of price to sales
6.	Wages and salaries	20% annual increment provided
7.	Estimated selling price	<del>N</del> 6,500/ton
8.	Depreciation	Straight line method
9.	Interest on bank loan	21%
10.	Debt equity ratio	3.1:1

#### **Table 4: Table of Assumptions Underlying Profitability Projections**

#### Development of Mining Model to Evaluate Economic Feasibility of the Feldspar Deposit

Multivariate Regression Model is a statistical procedure which aims at establishing the relationship between dependent and independent variables (Mertler *et al.*, 2021). The established model predicts the values of a target (dependent) variable from a collection of independent variables. Generally,

Multivariate model was developed by using Equation 3.

$$\hat{Y} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \dots + \beta_n x_n$$
(3)

Where,

 $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$ , are the coefficients of regression model,  $\beta_0$  is the intercept,  $\hat{Y}$  is the predictive value, X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>, ..., Xn, are the independent variables.

The mining model was developed using selected variables as identified in literature (Melodi *et al.*, 2023 and Mata *et al.*, 2022). The input parameters considered in this study include the Operation costs (OP), Administration costs (AC), Maintenance costs (MC), Royalty (R) and Output dataset (Profit). Tables 5 - 9 gives the projected ten years fixed and variable costs used for deriving the datasets.

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

Item	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.4	Yr.5	Yr.6	Yr.7	Yr.8	Yr.9	Yr.10
					( <del>N</del> '000	),000)				
Salaries/Wages	201	241	298	347	416	499	599	719	863	1,036
General /										
Administrative	120	144	172	207	248	298	358	430	516	619
Furniture and										
Fitting	50	60	72	86.4	103.7	124.4	149.3	179.2	215	218
Building and										
Structure	530	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total										
Administrative										
Cost	901	495	545	640.4	767.7	921.4	1,106.3	1.328	.2 1,592	2 1,873

# Table 5: Projected Administrative Cost

# Table 6: Projected Operating Cost

Item	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.4	Yr.5	Yr.6	Yr.7	Yr.8	Yr.9	Yr.10	
					( <b>₩</b> '000	,000)					
Utility	66	79	95	114	137	164	197	236	283	340	
Raw											
Materials	240	276	317	365	420	483	555	638	734	844	
Insurance											
on Fixed											
Assets	54	65	78	94	113	136	163	196	236	282	
Total											
Operating											
Cost	360	420	490	573	670	783	915	1,070	1,253	1,466	

# Table 7: Projected Maintenance Cost

Item	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.4	Yr.5 ( <del>N</del> '00	Yr.6 0,000)	Yr.7	Yr.8	Yr.9	Yr.10	
Repairs/ Maintenance	184	221	265	318	382	458	550	660	792	850	

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: <a href="https://www.eajournals.org/">https://www.eajournals.org/</a>

		Publi	shed by	Europe	ean Cer	itre for l	Research	n Trainir	ng and D	Development UK
Table 8: 1	Projected R	oyalty	Cost							
Item	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.4	Yr.5	Yr.6	Yr.7	Yr.8	Yr.9	Yr.10
					( <b>₩'</b> 0	00,000)				
Royalty	121	157	191	226	261	296	331	331	331	331

<b>Table 9:</b> Projected Total Exploration and Mining Cost
---

Item	Yr.1	Yr.2	Yr.3	Yr.4	Yr.5	Yr.6	Yr.7	Yr.8	Yr.9	Yr.10
					( <del>N</del> '000	0,000)				
AC	901	495	545	640.4	767.7	921.4	1,106.3	1,328.2	1,592	1,873
OC	360	420	490	573	670	783	915	1,070	1,253	1,466
MC	184	221	265	318	382	458	550	660	792	850
RC	121	157	191	226	261	296	331	331	331	331
Profit	278.6	1,012.6	1,533.1	1,980.8	2,375.7	2,711.7	2,976.8	2,500.7	1,923.7	1,226.8

The mining model was developed with 54 datasets from the projected fixed and variable costs, using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, V.16) software.

The accuracy of the model was computed using correlation coefficient ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), root mean square (RSME) and variance accounted for (VAF) as shown in Equations 4-6

$$R^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (\alpha - \beta)^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{r} (\alpha - w)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{r} (\alpha - \beta)^{2}}$$
(4)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha - \beta)^2}$$
(5)

$$VAF = \left(1 - \frac{Var(\alpha - \beta)}{var(\alpha)}\right) \times 100$$
(6)

Where,

•

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

 $\alpha$  is the calculated profit in Naira, n is the number of dataset,  $\omega$  is the mean value of the predicted profit,  $\beta$  is the predicted profit from the developed model in naira (N).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

# Site Mapping Using Geographical Information System (GIS) and Other Scientific Tools

The field exercise was performed with all geospatial readings based on the same geographic (projected) coordinate system, the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) and referenced to same datum (ellipsoid); the World Geodetic System (WGS) of 1984) using the Global Positioning System (GPS) locations, within a common geographic framework.

With the taken geographical coordinates of the corners of the study area, the linear dimensions of the block A which has an area of approximately 1.48 km<sup>2</sup> of were drawn. This is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Linear Dimension of block A in the Study Area

The satellite imagery of the area captured showed some illegal or artisanal mining activities around the three blocks. Figures 4 and 5 were developed to show the virtual assessment of various landscapes and activities within and around the blocks in the study area. At block A, it is about 0.81 km radius from the sparsely occupied area as shown in Figure 4.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK



Figure 4: Estimated Minimal Distance of Likelihood Activity of Block A

It is about 9.35 km radius from the sparsely occupied area in blocks B and C as shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: Estimated Minimal Distance of Likelihood Activity of Blocks B and C

Website: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

# Physical analysis test result

The results of rock samples taken from all three blocks, prepared into thin sections in the laboratory and analyzed for physical characteristics were processed. The summary of results is presented in Table 10.

Table 10: Results of Physical	Characteristics of Feldspar Samples Taken from three Blocks
Divisional Champotomistics	Desult Obtained

Result Obtained
6
2.55 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
Feldspar sample has a perfect cleavage. Cleavage
faces intersecting at or close to 90°. Consistent
hardness, specific gravity, pearly lustre on cleavage
faces, showing flakes of quartz (white) and
muscovite (white). It is granitic and
granodiorite composition.

The average density of the feldspar from the three blocks was determined to be  $2.56 \text{ g/cm}^3$  and a hardness of 6 was obtained, using the Mohs scale

Website: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

# **Economic Analysis for Development of Feldspar Deposit**

The economic analysis is predicated on the fixed and variable costs indices. Projections were made for operational overheads, wages and salaries, royalty charges and revenue, and a profitability performance analysis of returns on sales was calculated. Table 11 shows the profitability performance analysis of returns on sales

 Table 11:
 Returns on Sales

<b>Yr.</b> 1	Yr. 2	Yr. 3	Yr. 4	Yr. 5	<b>Yr. 6</b>	<b>Yr.</b> 7	<b>Yr. 8</b>	Yr. 9	Yr. 10
				₽(000,0	00)				
2.4	-3.91	1.5	4.9	7.8	10.6	13.2	17.3	22	27.7

Having computed all the economic parameters needed to develop a cash flow analysis and considering projections made, the cash flow economic analysis model is given in Table 12. Returns on sales (Rs) is calculated using Equation 7

$$R_S = \frac{Net \, Profit}{Sales} \times 100\% \tag{7}$$

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

# **Table 12:** Economic Analysis for Development of the Feldspar Deposit Using Cash Flow Model

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	End of Year	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3		4 Year (000,000)	5 Year	r 6 Year	r 7 Year	8 Year 9	Year 10
Loan 2,435 Sales 2,436 3,132 3,828 4,524 5,220 5,916 6,612 6,612 6,612 6,612 6,612 <b>FOTAL CASH</b> <b>INFLOW 4,871 3,132 3,828 4,524 5,220 5,916 6,612 6,612 6,612 6,612 6,612</b> <b>CASH</b> <b>OUTELOW:</b> Buildings and Structure 530 50	CASH INFLOW				14	(000,000)					
Sales       2,436       3,132       3,828       4,524       5,220       5,916       6,612       6,613 <th< td=""><td></td><td>2 435</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		2 435									
FOTAL CASH           INFLOW         4,871         3,132         3,828         4,524         5,220         5,916         6,612         6,613         9           Mathinery and         2,435         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -			3 132	3 8 2 8	4 524	5 220	0 5.91	6 661	2 6.61	2 6.612	6.612
INFLOW       4,871       3,132       3,828       4,524       5,220       5,916       6,612       6,613       613       613       613       613       13,036       13,036       619       13,036       619       13,036       619       13,036       619       13,036       141       137       164       197       236       283       340       340       842       340 </td <td></td> <td>2,450</td> <td>5,152</td> <td>5,020</td> <td>-1,52-1</td> <td>5,22</td> <td>0 5,71</td> <td>0 0,01</td> <td>2 0,01</td> <td>2 0,012</td> <td>0,012</td>		2,450	5,152	5,020	-1,52-1	5,22	0 5,71	0 0,01	2 0,01	2 0,012	0,012
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		4 871	3 1 3 2	3 828	4 524	5 220	5 916	6 6 6 1	2 6 61'	2 6 6 1 2	6 612
OUTFLOW:         Buildings and         Structure $530$ $50$ $   -$		4,071	5,152	5,020	7,527	5,220	, 3,,10	0,01	2 0,012	0,012	0,012
Buildings and Structure $530$ $50$											
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
Machinery and Equipment $2,435$ $  -$ <	U U	530	50	_	_	_	_	_	-	-	_
Equipment $2,435$ $  -$		550	50								
Raw Materials240276317365420483555638734844Salaries and Wages2012412983474164995997198631,036Gen/AdminExpenses120144172207248298358430516619Insurance onFixed assets54657894113136163196235282Utilities667995114137164197236283340Repairs andMaintenance184221265318382458550660792950Furniture andFittings50607286.4103.7124.4149.3179.2215218Royalty at 5%07286.4103.7124.4149.3179.2215218Coan Repayment-244244244244244244244Loan at 21%512486447403354305255204153101Contingencyat 10%79.496.4115.9138.8165.6196.9233.9274.1322.3380.2	•	2,435	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-
Salaries and Wages       201       241       298       347       416       499       599       719       863       1,036         Gen/Admin       Expenses       120       144       172       207       248       298       358       430       516       619         Insurance on       Fixed assets       54       65       78       94       113       136       163       196       235       282         Utilities       66       79       95       114       137       164       197       236       283       340         Repairs and       Maintenance       184       221       265       318       382       458       550       660       792       950         Furniture and       Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       0f       Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244		· ·									844
Gen/AdminExpenses120144172207248298358430516619Insurance onInsurance onFixed assets54657894113136163196235282Utilities667995114137164197236283340Repairs andInsurance184221265318382458550660792950Furniture andInsurance184221265318382458550660792950Furniture andInsurance184221265318382458550660792950Furniture andInsurance121157191226261296331331331331Loan Repayment-244<											
Expenses       120       144       172       207       248       298       358       430       516       619         Insurance on       Fixed assets       54       65       78       94       113       136       163       196       235       282         Utilities       66       79       95       114       137       164       197       236       283       340         Repairs and       Maintenance       184       221       265       318       382       458       550       660       792       950         Furniture and       Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       0f       71       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244       2	Ų	201	271	270	577	-10	-177	577	,1)	005	1,050
Insurance onFixed assets $54$ $65$ $78$ $94$ $113$ $136$ $163$ $196$ $235$ $282$ Utilities $66$ $79$ $95$ $114$ $137$ $164$ $197$ $236$ $283$ $340$ Repairs andMaintenance $184$ $221$ $265$ $318$ $382$ $458$ $550$ $660$ $792$ $950$ Furniture and $50$ $60$ $72$ $86.4$ $103.7$ $124.4$ $149.3$ $179.2$ $215$ $218$ Royalty at 5% $50$ $60$ $72$ $86.4$ $103.7$ $124.4$ $149.3$ $179.2$ $215$ $218$ Cond Repayment $ 244$ $244$		120	144	172	207	248	298	358	430	516	619
Fixed assets       54       65       78       94       113       136       163       196       235       282         Utilities       66       79       95       114       137       164       197       236       283       340         Repairs and       Maintenance       184       221       265       318       382       458       550       660       792       950         Furniture and       Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       50       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       2	*	120	144	1/2	207	240	270	550	750	510	017
Utilities       66       79       95       114       137       164       197       236       283       340         Repairs and       Maintenance       184       221       265       318       382       458       550       660       792       950         Furniture and       Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       of Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244		54	65	78	94	113	136	163	196	235	282
Repairs and       Maintenance       184       221       265       318       382       458       550       660       792       950         Furniture and       Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       of Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244											
Maintenance184221265318382458550660792950Furniture andFittings50607286.4103.7124.4149.3179.2215218Royalty at 5% $50$ 607286.4103.7124.4149.3179.2215218Covariant of Production121157191226261296331331331331Loan Repayment-244244244244244244244244Loan at 21%512486447403354305255204153101Contingency $at 10\%$ 79.496.4115.9138.8165.6196.9233.9274.1322.3380.2		00	17	)5	117	157	104	177	250	205	540
Furniture and         Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       of Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244	1	184	221	265	318	382	458	550	660	792	950
Fittings       50       60       72       86.4       103.7       124.4       149.3       179.2       215       218         Royalty at 5%       of Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244 <td< td=""><td></td><td>104</td><td>221</td><td>205</td><td>510</td><td>502</td><td>-150</td><td>550</td><td>000</td><td>172</td><td>750</td></td<>		104	221	205	510	502	-150	550	000	172	750
Royalty at 5%         of Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244       244       244       244       244       244       244       244         Loan at 21%       512       486       447       403       354       305       255       204       153       101         Contingency       at 10%       79.4       96.4       115.9       138.8       165.6       196.9       233.9       274.1       322.3       380.2		50	60	72	86.4	103 7	124.4	149 3	179.2	215	218
of Production       121       157       191       226       261       296       331       331       331       331         Loan Repayment       -       244       2		50	00	12	00.4	105.7	12-1	147.5	177.2	215	210
Loan Repayment       -       244		121	157	191	226	261	296	331	331	331	331
Interest on Loan at 21% 512 486 447 403 354 305 255 204 153 101 Contingency at 10% 79.4 96.4 115.9 138.8 165.6 196.9 233.9 274.1 322.3 380.2											
Loan at 21%         512         486         447         403         354         305         255         204         153         101           Contingency         10%         79.4         96.4         115.9         138.8         165.6         196.9         233.9         274.1         322.3         380.2			244	244	244	211	244	244	244	211	211
Contingency at 10% 79.4 96.4 115.9 138.8 165.6 196.9 233.9 274.1 322.3 380.2		512	486	447	403	354	305	255	204	153	101
at 10% 79.4 96.4 115.9 138.8 165.6 196.9 233.9 274.1 322.3 380.2		012	100		100	551	505	200	201	100	101
		79.4	96.4	115.9	138.8	165.6	196.9	233.9	274.1	322.3	380.2
	FOTAL CASH	/ / / /	20.1	110.9	100.0	100.0	170.7	200.7	27 1.1	522.5	500.2
	OUTFLOW	4.592.4	2.119.4	2.294.9	2.543.2	2.844.3	3.204.3	3.635.2	4.111.3	4.688.3	5,385.2
	Summary:	1,02211	-,	_, !!!	2,01012	2,0110	0,20110	0,000.2	.,	1,00012	0,000.2
	Cash Inflow	4.871	3.132	3.828	4.524	5.220	5.916	6.612	6.612	6.612	6,612
											5,385.2
Annual Cash		.,	-,,	_,>>	2,01012	2,01110	0,20110	0,00012	1,1110	1,00010	0,00012
	Surplus/Deficit	278.6	1.012.6	1.533.1	1.980.8	2.375.7	2.711.7	2.976.8	2.500.7	1.923.7	1,226.8
Annual			-,01210	-,00011	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_,	_,,,,	_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_,		1,220:0
	Opening Balance	_	278.6	1.291.2	2.824.3	4.805.1	7.180.8	9.892.5	12.869.4	15.370.1	17,293.8
	Annual		270.0	1,11/104	2,02-1.5	.,000.1	,,100,0	~907 <b>2</b> 10		10,07011	1,000
	Closing Balance	278.6	1.291.2	2.824.3	4,805.1	7,180.8	9.892.5	12.869.4	15,370.1	17,293.8	18,520.6

The project has a capacity of generating N1,226,800,000 over a period of ten years net all costs as shown in the cash flow analysis. The minimum cash in-flow over the first ten years is N184,100,100 and the project can still go on for more than one hundred years (100years). Equipment replacement is minimal over a long period with proper maintenance.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

## Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

# Mining Model Developed to Evaluate Economic Feasibility of Feldspar Deposit

The dataset statistic and the model parameters and hyperparameters for developing the mining model are presented in Tables 13 and 14 respectively.

Statistic	AC	OC	MC	R	Profit
Min (₦) x 10 <sup>3</sup>	450,000	360,000	184,000	121,000	278,600
$Min (\aleph) \ge 10^3$	7,197,767.265	5,884,361	4,516,172	1,479,752	11,263,721
Average (( $\mathbb{N}$ ) x 10 <sup>3</sup>	1,547,087.494	1,008,378	1,059,121	427,450.6	3,169,807
Variance	1.70983E+12	6.51E+11	8.02E+11	6.65E+10	4.05E+12
Standard Deviation	1,307,604.456	806,818.7	895,309.6	257,944.2	2,011,376
Skewness	2.898458693	4.376427	2.491334	2.282582	2.234457
Kurtosis	9.919342088	24.50572	6.748538	5.787792	5.690961

 Table 13: Parameter Statistics

 Table 14: Model Parameters and Hyperparameters

Model	Unstandardi	zed Coefficients	Standardize	ed Coefficients	t	Sig
	В		Standard	Error	Beta	
Constants	-257,728	149,536	-1.724	0.091		
AC	0.005	0.038	0.003		0.137	0.89
OC	0.051	0.091	0.021		0.056	0.57
MC	-0.279	0.313	- 0.124		-0.894	0.37
R	8.571	0.967	1.099		8.862	0

The model obtained can be tested by the mathematical formular presented in Equation 8

$$PR = (X_1AC + X_2OC + X_3MC + X_4R + K) \ge 1000$$
(8)

The model parameters and hyperparameters in Table 29 were extracted into the mathematical equation obtained and presented in Equation 9.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

$$PR = (0.005AC + 0.051OC - 0.279MC + 8.571R - 257728) \times 1000$$
(9)

Where,  $X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, K$  are the model parameter coefficients, AC is the Administration cost, OC is the Operation cost, MC is the Maintenance cost, R is the Royalty and PR is the Profit in Naira (<del>N)</del>.

The model was applied to testing data and predicted result was compared with the calculated revenue. The model performance was found to have correlation coefficients of  $(R^2)$  of 0.9859 and 0.996 for both training and testing respectively as shown in Figure 6



Figure 6: Relationship between Developed Model Prediction Profit and the Calculated Profit

# Model error analysis

The result of the error analysis carried out on the developed mining model is presented in Figure 35. The high value of VAF and  $R^2$  and low RSME obtained from the model revealed its degree of accuracy.

From Figure 7, it is shown that the model prediction result closely correlates with the calculated generated result as indicted by the correction coefficient >90%.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

# Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK



### Figure 7: Model Error Analysis Result

These indicates that the performances of the proposed model are satisfactory and it can be used for the practical purposes and for decision making.

The developed model in Equation 10 was tested by running data from the economic variables derived for each year used in developing the model as shown in Table 15. It was also observed that the calculated profits and predicted profits are closely correlated.

AC	OC	MC	RC	Profit	Predicted
883297.1	698166.4	1197907	477968	3549081	3544743
578716.2	466075.7	799687.8	357745.8	2623261	2612061
744398.9	592325.9	925681.5	395783.2	2916184	2910196
2405038	707545	685032.2	323131.2	2356698	2368816
2114016	485786.2	470329.4	258312.4	1857535	1860391
2604060	859199.7	654984.1	314059.7	2286839	2308177
7197767	1359605	1036452	429224.9	3173715	3237317
6877602	5884361	4485760	1237931	9664884	9435540
3759162	1260613	960988.9	173802.5	1470139	1046905
2345700	662329.4	504906.2	268751.2	1937923	1950377
1355741	2158169	1645213	613009.7	4589023	4654209
2507879	785909.8	599113.9	297192.5	2156947	2174977
2491509	773435.8	589604.7	294321.7	2134839	2152306
	883297.1 578716.2 744398.9 2405038 2114016 2604060 7197767 6877602 3759162 2345700 1355741 2507879	883297.1698166.4578716.2466075.7744398.9592325.924050387075452114016485786.22604060859199.77197767135960568776025884361375916212606132345700662329.4135574121581692507879785909.8	883297.1698166.41197907578716.2466075.7799687.8744398.9592325.9925681.52405038707545685032.22114016485786.2470329.42604060859199.7654984.171977671359605103645268776025884361448576037591621260613960988.92345700662329.4504906.21355741215816916452132507879785909.8599113.9	883297.1698166.41197907477968578716.2466075.7799687.8357745.8744398.9592325.9925681.5395783.22405038707545685032.2323131.22114016485786.2470329.4258312.42604060859199.7654984.1314059.7719776713596051036452429224.9687760258843614485760123793137591621260613960988.9173802.52345700662329.4504906.2268751.2135574121581691645213613009.72507879785909.8599113.9297192.5	883297.1698166.411979074779683549081578716.2466075.7799687.8357745.82623261744398.9592325.9925681.5395783.229161842405038707545685032.2323131.223566982114016485786.2470329.4258312.418575352604060859199.7654984.1314059.72286839719776713596051036452429224.931737156877602588436144857601237931966488437591621260613960988.9173802.514701392345700662329.4504906.2268751.21937923135574121581691645213613009.745890232507879785909.8599113.9297192.52156947

 Table 15: Tested Economic Variables on Developed Model

## Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

# CONCLUSIONS

The economic evaluation of feldspar deposits in Adavi and Ajaokuta local governments in Kogi state was carried out. The economic evaluation involved both an economic analysis and economic feasibility. The following findings and conclusion were drawn

from the study;

- The economic analysis carried out on the feldspar deposit using the derived parameters. The net present value was used to determine the economic and financial analysis. The outcome showed that the project, if embarked upon has a life span of 117.8 years or more at an average daily production capacity of 5000 tons should it be embarked upon and a loan payback period ten years. Therefore, there is need for more exploration to acquire more reserves of feldspar.
- A mining model was developed and the developed model's prediction performance is appropriate to evaluate the economic feasibility of the feldspar deposit with associated financial benefits.
- iii. The assessment further showed that of the three mapped out block of feldspar, blockA, based on its level of economic evaluation has the largest deposit of feldspar followedby block B, and then block C.
- iv. The preference for the development of the three mapped out blocks of feldspar would be based on the both the economic evaluation and the level of geoscientific information of the deposit.

# RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the economic analysis carried out and mining model developed, the project has a capacity of generating a net profit of close to a billion naira without any liability after the loan payback period of ten years net all costs and is viable. The cash flow analysis shows a positive net present value of the project and the mining model showed the viability of the project given the technical and managerial skills of the mangers of the project.

With an estimated reserve of 113.3million metric tons, it is expected that the project will last for 117.8 years with an estimated production of 600,000 metric tons per annum. With the abundance of feldspar and a whole lot of other minerals in the Nigeria,

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

investing in the solid mineral sector will yield higher returns and improve the country's wealth.

ii. In addition, the proposed project site location is hereby recommended in view of the

following favorable attributes:

a. Its nearness to Lokoja, the capital of Kogi State

This is an historic town with an history of settlement of the British colonial government dating back to several years. It is also known as a trade route for the miners who use the navigable port in the State to transport their mining products. This will provide means of transporting the mineral products to several parts of the country through the River Niger.

# b. Nearness to Military Formation

There is also a Maimaleri Military barracks located about ten minutes away from Zango and less than fifteen minutes' drive to Lokoja. This facility is expected to provide security support to the proposed Feldspar Quarry, especially in security prone Kogi State.

# c. Kogi is a Gateway State

Kogi State, where the project is located is a gateway to the Nation's Federal Capital Territory, (FCT) Abuja and also to the Eastern and Southern parts of Nigeria. The Federal Express road, which also run from Lokoja to other parts of Nigeria makes the project site accessible to Northern, Southern and Western parts of Nigeria. Also, the Itakpe-Warri standard gauge rail lines which has just been completed, will soon be extended to FCT. These different modes of transportation will also make the haulage of mined Feldspar to other parts of Nigeria easy and economical. Nearness of Lokoja to FCT and neighboring States is a guarantee for adequate supply of skilled and cheap manpower.

# d. Abundance of Mineral Deposit

The abundance of the mineral deposit in Kogi State attracts the presence of other extractive industries to the State. This includes Ajaokuta Steel Plant about to be resuscitated by the Federal government. It also includes Royal Ceramic Company, Lokoja and West Africa Ceramic Industries Limited, Ajaokuta, which use Feldspar as

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

one of their major raw materials. Also, Dangote Industries Limited, Obajana, which uses Limestone as basic raw material is not far from Lokoja.

e. Presence of Educational Institutions

The presence of the following higher institutions near the project site will enhance the settlement of workers to the environment: Kogi State Polytechnic - Lokoja, Osara and Itakpe campuses; Federal University, Lokoja, Kogi State University, Ayangba, Salem University, Lokoja and Federal College of Education, Okene.

f. Presence of Electricity Infrastructure.

The presence of Geregu Power Plant in the Ajaokuta area which is close to the project site will provide needed electricity to the project.

## REFERENCES

- Akpabio, E. and Akpan, N (2010): Governance and Oil Politic in Nigeria's Niger Delta: The Question of Distributive Equity. *Journal of Human Ecology*. 30(2): 111 121
- Bamalli, U.S, Mounmouni, A and Chaanda, M.S. (2011): A Review of Nigerian Metallic Minerals for Technological Development. Natural Resources. Vol. 2. Pp. 87-91.
- Businesswire, (2023): Feldspar Global Market Opportunities and Strategies to 2031. Available online at: www.businesswise.com
- Chatham House, (2019): The Geopolitical Implications of Future Oil Demand. Research Paper. http://www.chathamhouse.com
- Gandhi, S.M. and Sarkar B.C. (2016): Reconnaissance and Prospecting in Essentials of Mineral Exploration and Evaluation. *Elsevier Journals and Books*. 53-79
- Gandhi, S.M. and Sarkar B.C. (2016): Geological Exploration. In Essentials of Mineral Exploration and Evaluation. *Elsevier Journals and Books*. 159-198
- Gladkevich G.I and Khrushchev A. T. (2014): Principles of an Economic Evaluation of Mineral Deposits for Purposes of Geographical Prediction. 12-19.
- Haldar, S.K (2018): Reconnaissance: An Overview in Mineral Exploration. (Second Edition). From Principles and Application. *Elsevier Journals and Books*. www.sciencedirect.com. 1-23
- Khaled, Z (2019): Geological and Geochemical Exploration Techniques. Department of Exploration., Egyptian Mineral Resources Authority. 5-9
- Lattanzi, P. (2022): Economic Minerals: A Review of their Characteristics and Mode of Occurrence. *Geology*. 4(2)
- Libecap, G. (1996): Economic Variables and the Development of the Law. The Case of Western Mineral Rights in Alston L. (eds) Empirical Studies in Institutional Change. 34 -58.
- Mallo, S. J (2012): Continental Journal of Applied Sciences 7(1):34-35.

Vol.6, No.1, pp.1-27, 2024

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK

- Mata, M.M, Taiwo B.O and Adamolekun, L.B. (2022): Improvement of Asphalt Production Investment in Nigeria Through Profitability Assessment. A Case Study of Oyo State, Nigeria Construction Company. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology Studies*, 10(2): 1-5
- Melodi, M.M, Gbolagade, M.A, Amigun, J.O and Alaba, O.C. (2023): Statistical Investigation of the Relationship between Gold and Associated Minerals: A Case Study of Kagara Area of Niger State, Nigeria Soil. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology Studies*, 11 (1): 1-18.
- Mertler, C.A, Vannata, R.A and LaVenia, K.N. (2021): Advanced and Multivariate Statistical Methods: Practical Application and Interpretation. Routledge. (7<sup>th</sup> Edition). 15-16
- Narasimman, S. (2013): On a Sustainable Future of the Earth's Natural Resources. A General Perspective on Geophysical Methods in Mineral Exploration. Published by Springer Earth System Sciences. 53-83.
- National Bureau of Statistics, NBS (2021): Mineral Production Report for 2019 2020.
- National Bureau of Statistics, NBS (2022): Mineral Production Report for 2021.
- Ola-Omole, O.O. (2010): Efficiency of Local Collectors for the Recovery of Lead Concentrates from Sulphide Ore. Master of Engineering Thesis Submitted to the Department of Mining Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. 10-11
- Olumide, S. A, Akongwale, S, and Udefuna, P.N. (2013): Economic Diversification in Nigeria. Any Role for Solid Mineral Development? *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. 4(3):691
- Onah, F.E. (): Promotion of Economic Activities Through Development of Solid Minerals in the States. Paper Presentation. Central Bank of Nigeria. Available online at: www.cbn.gov.ng/out/publications. 8
- Opafunso, Z.O, Suglo, R.S and Melodi, M.M. (2008): Prospects and Challenges of Women and Children Involvement in Artisanal and Small Scale Mining in Selected African Countries presented at the 47<sup>th</sup> Annual International Conference. Nigerian Mining and Geosciences Society held in Abuja. 9.
- Oyedokun O.M and Igonor E.E. (2013): Solid Minerals Development in Parts of Southwest Nigeria in the Light of Recent Reforms. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology* 3(4):391-1404.
- Reynolds, J. M. (2011): An Introduction to Applied and Environmental Geophysics. Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Limited. 14.
- Southalan, J. (2012): Mining Law and Policy. International Perspectives. The Federation Press
- Swenson, S (2023): Net Present Value Defined and Discussed. The Motley Fool. Available at: www.com/investing.
- Westholm, L.J and Alderton, D (2015): Reference Module in Earth System and Environmental System.
- William, B. L. (2019): Technical and Economic Evaluation of Mineral Deposits. Micon International Limited. Mineral Industry Consultants. 1-4.