European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research
Vol.13, No. 2, pp.,77-86, 2025
Print ISSN: 2053-4086(Print),
Online ISSN: 2053-4094(Online)
Website: https://www.eajournals.org/
Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development-UK

Tax Policies and Urban Regeneration in Nasarawa State

[1]Kwakipi Akodos Egga, [2]Emankhu Sunday Etemini & [3]Jacob Atser
1Department of Accountancy, Isa Mustapha Agwai 1 Polytechnic, Lafia, 2 Department of Urban and Regional Planning Isa Mustapha Agwai 1 Polytechnic, Lafia 3 Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Uyo, Uyo, Nigeria

doi: https://doi.org/10.37745/ejaafr.2013/vol13n24376 Published March 09, 2025

Citation: Egga K.A., Etemini E.S., and Atser J. (2025) Tax Policies and Urban Regeneration in Nasarawa State, *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, Vol.13, No. 2, pp.,77-85

Abstract: *This study examines the relationship between tax policies and urban regeneration in Nasarawa State, Nigeria. Using primary data from 450 respondents across various stakeholder groups, the study analyzes awareness, understanding and the perceived impact of tax policies on urban redevelopment. Findings reveal that while awareness of tax policies is high (76%), understanding remains uneven and corruption (70%) is the biggest challenge hindering effectiveness. Ground rent is the most commonly applied tax (63%), while subsidies (58%) are seen as the most effective incentive for urban renewal. The study recommends policy transparency, simplified regulations, and increased public participation in tax policy formulation to enhance urban regeneration efforts.*
Keywords: tax policies, urban regeneration, urban redevelopment, public participation, policy transparency

INTRODUCTION

Taxation plays a vital role in promoting urban redevelopment, which is crucial for economic growth and community revitalization. However, the impact of tax policies on urban regeneration can vary widely based on local conditions. While global studies have explored this relationship, the specific dynamics in Lafia Township have not been thoroughly examined. This research seeks to address this gap by investigating how tax policies influence urban redevelopment in Lafia, providing valuable insights for policymakers, urban planners, and stakeholders in shaping the town's development.

Statement of Research Problem

Urban regeneration is crucial for maintaining the vitality and sustainability of cities, particularly in rapidly urbanizing areas. However, the success of urban regeneration efforts largely depends on effective policy frameworks, with taxation playing a critical role. In Lafia, rapid population growth and migration have placed significant pressure on infrastructure and housing, creating an urgent need for strategic redevelopment. Despite this, the extent to which tax policies facilitate or hinder urban regeneration in Lafia remains unclear.

This study seeks to address this gap by examining the relationship between tax policies and urban regeneration in Lafia Township. It investigates how current tax policies influence investment in urban redevelopment, identifies the challenges faced by developers and policymakers, and assesses the overall effectiveness of these policies in achieving sustainable urban outcomes. The findings will provide insights into the role of taxation in shaping urban regeneration efforts and offer recommendations for policy improvements to enhance sustainable urban development in Lafia.

Aim

The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship between tax policies and urban regeneration in Lafia Township.

Objectives

i. Assess the impact of existing tax policies on investment in urban redevelopment in Lafia Township.
ii. Evaluate the effectiveness of current tax policies in achieving sustainable urban outcomes in Lafia Township.
iii. Explore potential improvements or alternatives to current tax policies that could enhance urban regeneration efforts in Lafia.
iv. Analyze the role of local socio-economic conditions in shaping the relationship between tax policies and urban regeneration in Lafia Township

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for this study explores the intricate relationship between taxation and urban regeneration, emphasizing how fiscal policies can serve as catalysts for sustainable urban redevelopment. Taxation, in this context, encompasses various fiscal instruments such as tax incentives, credits, exemptions, and subsidies designed to attract private and public investments into urban renewal projects. Urban regeneration refers to the strategic revitalization of urban areas through improvements in infrastructure, housing, and public services to enhance economic productivity, environmental sustainability and social equity.

Effective tax policies can stimulate private sector investment, enhance infrastructure provision, and support equitable urban development. Common fiscal tools for urban regeneration include Tax Increment Financing (TIF) and Enterprise Zones, both widely applied in developed countries to stimulate economic growth in declining urban areas. Tax Increment Financing (TIF) involves capturing the future increases in property tax revenues within a designated area to fund current infrastructure and redevelopment projects. Enterprise Zones, on the other hand, provide businesses with tax reliefs, regulatory benefits, and financial incentives to encourage investment in economically distressed areas.

These fiscal mechanisms align with the broader objective of creating an enabling environment for sustainable urban development. As Friedman and Harris (2023) highlight, tax incentives have played a significant role in facilitating urban regeneration in rapidly urbanizing regions by reducing investment risks and encouraging long-term economic growth. However, the effectiveness of tax policies depends on the level of public awareness, governance efficiency, and transparency in tax administration.

In the Nigerian context, urban regeneration has faced multiple challenges, including weak institutional frameworks, lack of coordination between government agencies, and issues of tax evasion and corruption. While ground rent is a commonly applied tax, other fiscal incentives such as subsidies and tax credits remain underutilized despite their potential to drive urban redevelopment. This study seeks to explore how tax policies influence urban regeneration efforts in Lafia, Akwanga, and Keffi, three key urban centers in Nasarawa State experiencing rapid population growth and migration-driven housing demand.

Theoretical Framework

The study is grounded in two key theories: Public Choice Theory and Growth Pole Theory, both of which provide a lens for analyzing the role of taxation in urban regeneration.

Public Choice Theory

Public Choice Theory, originating from the works of Buchanan and Tullock (1962), suggests that public policy decisions, including tax policies, are shaped by interactions between government entities, private sector actors, and the general public. In the context of urban redevelopment, this theory posits that tax incentives and policies should be structured to balance the interests of multiple stakeholders:

- The **government**, which seeks to promote economic development and improve infrastructure.
- **Private investors and developers**, who require incentives such as tax breaks to reduce financial risks.
- **Residents and businesses**, who benefit from improved urban conditions but may also bear the tax burden.

Growth Pole Theory

Growth Pole Theory, developed by Perroux (1955) and later expanded by Rodríguez-Pose and Wilkie (2022), suggests that economic development is not evenly distributed but concentrated in specific areas known as "growth poles." These poles serve as focal points of investment and economic activity, which then stimulate development in surrounding areas. In the context of urban regeneration, this theory implies that targeted tax policies can transform selected urban areas into growth hubs, attracting investment, fostering job creation, and driving regional economic development.

Empirical Framework

Empirical studies from different regions provide valuable insights into the impact of tax policies on urban regeneration.

Evidence from Developed Countries

Research in developed nations has demonstrated that well-designed tax policies can significantly enhance urban redevelopment.

- Johnson and Wright (2022) found that Tax Increment Financing (TIF) programs in New York resulted in a 40% increase in private investment in infrastructure and public services, leading to improved housing quality, job creation, and economic revitalization.
- Smith and Williams (2020) examined the success of Enterprise Zones in the UK, where businesses were granted tax reductions and infrastructure subsidies. Their study found that such incentives led to a 25% increase in business activity and a 30% rise in property values in previously distressed urban areas.

These studies underscore the potential of tax policies to stimulate urban renewal when supported by strong governance and transparent regulatory frameworks.

Evidence from Developing Countries

Research in developing countries, particularly in Africa, has shown mixed results regarding the effectiveness of tax policies in urban regeneration.

- Olowu and Akinola (2019) examined urban redevelopment projects in Nigeria and found that while tax incentives were introduced to stimulate urban growth, poor governance, corruption, and lack of enforcement mechanisms hindered their effectiveness.
- Adebayo (2021) analyzed urban tax policies in Lagos and Abuja, finding that while these policies contributed to infrastructure improvements, smaller cities seem to be largely ignored in empirical research.
- Eze (2023) emphasized the need for localized studies on tax policy and urban redevelopment in secondary Nigerian cities, particularly regions facing rapid population growth, infrastructure deficits, and informal housing expansion.

Research Gap and Justification for the Study

Despite the growing body of literature on tax policies and urban regeneration, existing studies in Nigeria have largely focused on major metropolitan areas such as Lagos and Abuja, leaving smaller urban centers underexplored. There is a lack of empirical data on the effectiveness of tax incentives in mid-sized cities which are experiencing rapid urbanization but face significant constraints in urban redevelopment.

This study seeks to fill this gap by providing localized empirical evidence on how tax policies impact urban regeneration in Nasarawa State. Specifically, it aims to:

1. Assess the level of awareness and understanding of tax policies among different stakeholder groups in Nasarawa State.
2. Evaluate the effectiveness of existing tax incentives in stimulating private investment and infrastructure development.
3. Identify the major challenges hindering the success of tax policies in urban redevelopment, including governance issues, corruption, and administrative inefficiencies.
4. Provide practical policy recommendations for enhancing the role of taxation in sustainable urban development in Nigeria's secondary cities.

This study will contribute to bridging the knowledge gap by providing empirical data on how taxation affects urban redevelopment in Nasarawa State. It will also offer practical policy recommendations to enhance tax policy effectiveness in mid-sized Nigerian cities, ensuring that urban regeneration efforts are inclusive, transparent, and sustainable**.**

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The study adopts a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative survey data with qualitative insights from key informant interviews. This method allows for a comprehensive analysis of tax policy awareness, effectiveness and urban regeneration outcomes.

Study Area

The research focuses on three major urban centers in Nasarawa State:

- **Lafia** – The state capital and a rapidly urbanizing city.
- **Akwanga** – A mid-sized town with growing infrastructure needs.
- **Keffi** – A commercial hub with significant property development.

Data Collection Methods

- **Survey**: Structured questionnaires were administered to 450 respondents across various demographic groups.
- **Key Informant Interviews**: Government officials, urban planners and developers provided qualitative insights.

European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research
Vol.13, No. 2, pp.,77-86, 2025
Print ISSN: 2053-4086(Print),
Online ISSN: 2053-4094(Online)
Website: https://www.eajournals.org/
Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development-UK

- **Field Observations**: Urban development projects were assessed to understand the physical impact of tax policies.

Sampling Technique

A stratified random sampling technique was used to ensure representation from different occupational groups, including residents (46%), developers (22%), government officials (6%), and investors (3%).

Data Analysis

- Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentages) were used to analyze survey responses.
- Qualitative data from interviews were thematically analyzed to identify policy challenges and opportunities.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Demographic Profile of Respondents

Age and Gender Distribution

- The majority of respondents (55%) are aged 26-36 years, indicating that young adults are the most engaged in tax policy and urban regeneration.
- Gender distribution shows a significant male dominance (80%), suggesting a gap in female participation in urban development and taxation-related discussions.

Educational Qualification and Occupation

- The highest level of education among respondents is primary (32%) and secondary (30%), highlighting a need for targeted tax education programs.
- Residents (46%) form the largest occupational group, followed by developers (22%) and government officials (6%), reflecting diverse perspectives on tax policies.

Awareness and Understanding of Tax Policies

- 76% of respondents are aware of tax policies, suggesting taxation is a well-recognized urban development tool.
- However, only 60% rate their understanding as excellent**,** while 11% report poor understanding, indicating the need for simplified tax education.

Types of Tax Policies Applied

- Ground rent (63%) is the most commonly applied tax, followed by environmental tax (21%) and tenement rates (16%)**.**
- Carbon tax is not implemented (0%), showing that environmental taxation remains underutilized.

Impact of Tax Policies on Urban Redevelopment

- 82% of respondents believe tax policies influence urban regeneration, with 62% strongly agreeing.
- Improved infrastructure (44%) and increased housing availability (32%) are the most commonly cited positive outcomes.

- However, corruption (70%) and inadequate governance (27%) are identified as the biggest barriers to policy success.

Effectiveness of Tax Incentives

- Subsidies (58%) are considered the most effective tax incentive, followed by enterprise zones (27%) and tax credits (9%).
- Tax Increment Financing (4%) has low support, indicating a lack of awareness or utilization of alternative financing mechanisms.

Challenges Hindering Tax Policy Effectiveness

- Corruption (70%) is the most significant challenge, followed by inadequate governance (27%).
- Lack of awareness and economic instability (1% each) are seen as minor challenges.
- These findings highlight governance and transparency issues as critical barriers to successful tax-driven urban regeneration.

Socioeconomic Barriers to Effective Tax Policy Implementation

- Poverty (49%) and economic instability (26%) are seen as the most critical socioeconomic factors affecting tax policy success.
- High poverty levels limit the benefits of tax incentives (32%), suggesting that broader economic reforms are needed alongside taxation policies.
- 48% of respondents believe that addressing socioeconomic factors is unnecessary, indicating differing views on the integration of social and economic reforms in tax policy.

CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Key Findings

1. **Strong Awareness but Mixed Understanding**
   Most respondents are aware of tax policies, but understanding levels vary, necessitating targeted tax education programs.
2. **Corruption and Governance Issues as Major Barriers**
   Corruption (70%) and inadequate governance (27%) undermine the effectiveness of tax policies, requiring stronger enforcement and transparency measures.
3. **Preference for Direct Incentives**
   Subsidies (58%) are preferred over complex financing mechanisms like TIF, indicating that simpler, more direct incentives may be more effective in driving urban regeneration.
4. **Tax Policies Primarily Improve Physical Infrastructure**
   Improved infrastructure (44%) and increased housing availability (32%) are the most commonly cited benefits, with economic and social benefits receiving less attention.

5. **Socioeconomic Challenges Must Be Addressed**
   Poverty (49%) and economic instability (26%) limit the effectiveness of tax incentives, suggesting that taxation alone cannot drive sustainable urban regeneration.

Policy Recommendations

1. **Enhancing Public Awareness and Education**
   Develop tax literacy programs targeted at different educational levels to improve understanding and compliance.
2. **Improving Transparency and Governance**
   Strengthen anti-corruption measures and enhance public accountability in tax revenue allocation for urban projects.
3. **Expanding the Use of Tax Incentives**
   Increase the use of targeted tax incentives such as tax credits and enterprise zones to stimulate urban regeneration.
4. **Addressing Socioeconomic Barriers**
   Integrate poverty reduction and economic stability strategies into tax policy frameworks to maximize their impact.
5. **Strengthening Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)**
   Encourage collaboration between government agencies, private investors, and communities to enhance the effectiveness of tax-driven urban renewal programs.

Future Research Directions

- Investigating the role of digital tax systems in improving transparency and efficiency in urban taxation.
- Assessing the long-term impact of tax incentives on sustainable urban growth and development in Nasarawa State.
- Comparative analysis of Nasarawa State's tax policies with those of other Nigerian states to identify best practices for urban regeneration.

REFERENCES

Adebayo, T. (2021). *Taxation and Urban Redevelopment in Nigerian Cities: A Focus on Lagos and Abuja*. Journal of Urban Policy and Development, 12(2), 45-67.

Ademiluyi, I. A., & Solanke, M. O. (2008). Infrastructural distribution of healthcare services in Nigeria: An overview. *Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, 1(3), 058-062.

Agbola, T., & Agunbiade, E. M. (2009). Urbanization, slum development and security of tenure: The challenges of meeting Millennium Development Goal 7 in Metropolitan Lagos, Nigeria. *Urban Research and Practice*, 2(1), 75-100.

Akinmoladun, O. I., & Oluwoye, J. (2007). An assessment of why the problems of housing shortages persist in developing countries: A case of study of Lagos Metropolis, Nigeria. *Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(4), 589-598.

Ayedun, C. A., Durodola, O. D., & Akinjare, O. A. (2012). Towards ensuring sustainable urban growth and development in Nigeria: Challenges and strategies. *Business Management Dynamics*, 2(2), 99-104.

Couch, C., Fraser, C., & Percy, S. (2003). *Urban regeneration in Europe*. Blackwell Publishing.

Deas, I., & Ward, K. (2000). From the 'new localism' to the 'new regionalism'? The implications of regional development agencies for city-regional relations. *Political Geography*, 19(3), 273-292.

Eze, C., Nwankwo, O., & Adeyemi, T. (2023). *Exploring the Impact of Tax Policies on Urban Redevelopment in Nigerian Secondary Cities*. African Journal of Urban Studies, 8(1), 15-30.

Fix, M. (2011). Urban operation in São Paulo: CEPACs as a tool for value capture and urban regeneration. *Land Lines*, 23(3), 14-19.

Friedman, D., & Harris, S. (2023). *Sustainable Development Through Tax Incentives in Urbanizing Regions: A Global Perspective*. Urban Policy Review, 25(3), 112-128.

Huxley, M. (2009). Urban planning in a post-political era: The problems of local democracy and neoliberalization. *Urban Studies*, 46(11), 2345-2360.

Johnson, M., & Wright, L. (2022). *The Role of Tax Increment Financing in Urban Redevelopment: Evidence from New York City*. Urban Economics Journal, 15(4), 55-75.

Lees, L., Slater, T., & Wyly, E. (2010). *The gentrification reader*. Routledge.

Mabogunje, A. L. (1992). Perspective on urban land and urban management policies in sub-Saharan Africa. *World Bank Technical Paper* No. 196.

Nasarawa State Internal Revenue Service. (2022). Annual Report. Lafia: Nasarawa State Government.

Nigerian National Bureau of Statistics. (2021). *Population growth and migration trends*. Abuja: Nigerian Government Press.

Oduwaye, L. (2009). Challenges of sustainable physical planning and development in metropolitan Lagos. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, 11(2), 1-22.

Ogu, V. I. (1999). Urban infrastructure development and sustainability in Nigeria. *Habitat International*, 23(3), 319-332.

Olotuah, A. O., & Bobadoye, S. A. (2009). Sustainable housing provision for the urban poor: A review of public sector intervention in Nigeria. *The Built & Human Environment Review*, 2, 51-63.

Olowu, D., & Akinola, A. (2019). *Governance and the Challenges of Urban Regeneration in Nigeria*. Journal of African Public Administration, 19(2), 93-109.

Rakodi, C., & Lloyd-Jones, T. (2002). *Urban livelihoods: A people-centred approach to reducing poverty*. Earthscan Publications.

Roberts, P., & Sykes, H. (2000). *Urban regeneration: A handbook*. SAGE Publications.

Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Wilkie, A. (2022). *Growth Pole Theory and the Role of Tax Incentives in Regional Economic Development*. Regional Development Journal, 14(1), 68-85.

Smith, J., & Williams, K. (2020). *Enterprise Zones and Urban Regeneration in the UK: An Analysis of Economic Impact*. International Journal of Urban Planning, 18(1), 39-58.

Smith, N. (2006). *The new urban frontier: Gentrification and the revanchist city*. Routledge.

Smith, N. (2010). The gentrification debate: A review of urban regeneration policies. *Urban Studies*, 47(8), 1713-1728.

Smith, P. (2010). *Tax Increment Financing and Urban Development in Chicago: A Case Study*. Economic Development Quarterly, 24(3), 206-218.

Weber, R. (2003). Tax increment financing in theory and practice. In *Financing economic development in the 21st century* (pp. 53-68). M.E. Sharpe.